arXiv:1202.2063v1 [math.CA] 9 Feb 2012

Weighted Hardy spaces associated to operators and boundedness of singular integrals

The Anh Bui[*] Xuan Thinh Duong[†]

Abstract

Let (X, d, μ) be a space of homogeneous type, i.e. the measure μ satisfies doubling (volume) property with respect to the balls defined by the metric d. Let L be a non-negative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(X)$. Assume that the semigroup of L satisfies the Davies-Gaffney estimates. In this paper, we study the weighted Hardy spaces $H^p_{L,w}(X)$, $0 < p \leq 1$, associated to the operator L on the space X. We establish the atomic and the molecular characterizations of elements in $H^p_{L,w}(X)$. As applications, we obtain the boundedness on $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ for the generalized Riesz transforms associated to L and for the spectral multipliers of L.

Contents

1 Introduction 2

2 Preliminaries 4
2.1 Doubling metric spaces . . . 4
2.2 Muckenhoupt weights . . . 4

3 Weighted Hardy spaces associated to operators 6
3.1 Definition of weighted Hardy spaces . . . 6
3.2 Finite propagation speed for the wave equation . . . 7
3.3 Weighted tent spaces . . . 8
3.4 Atomic characterization of weighted Hardy spaces $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ . . . 11
3.5 Molecular characterization of weighted Hardy spaces $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ . . . 15

---

[*] The Anh Bui was supported by a Macquarie University scholarship

[†] Xuan Thinh Duong was supported by an ARC Discovery grant

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 42B20, Secondary: 35B65, 35K05, 42B25, 47B38, 58J35.

Key words: weighted Hardy spaces, singular integrals, spectral multipliers, Riesz transforms.

4 Boundedness of singular integrals with non-smooth kernels 16
4.1 Generalized Riesz transforms 16
4.2 Boundedness of Riesz transforms associated with magnetic Schrödinger operators 18
4.2.1 Magnetic Schrödinger operators and heat kernel estimates 18
4.2.2 Proof of Theorem 4.3 20
4.3 Spectral multiplier theorem on $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ 22

1 Introduction

The theory of Hardy spaces has been a central part of modern harmonic analysis. While the classical Hardy spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be characterized by certain estimates via the Laplacian, the study on the Hardy spaces associated to operators has been intensive recntly, see for example [ADM, DY2, HM, HLMMY] and their references. Here we will give only a brief account of some recent studies. In [ADM], the Hardy spaces H^1_L associated to an operator L was introduced and studied under the assumption that the heat kernel of L satisfies a pointwise Poisson upper bound. The BMO space associated to such an L was introduced in [DY1] and it was shown in [DY2] that the BMO space associated to L is the dual space of the Hardy space $H^1_{L^*}$ associated to the adjoint operator L^*. Recently the Hardy space H^1 associated to Hodge Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold was studied in [AMR]. Meanwhile the Hardy spaces associated to a second order divergence form elliptic operator L on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with complex coefficients was investigated in [HM]. The study of the Hardy spaces $H^p_L(X), 1 \leq p < \infty$, on a metric space X associated to a non-negative self adjoint operator L satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates was carried out in [HLMMY].

It is natural to study weighted Hardy space $H^p_{L,w}, 1 \leq p < \infty$ associated to an operator L with an appropriate weight w. It is known that the classical weighted Hardy spaces $H^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be considered as weighted Hardy spaces associated to the Laplacian. See, for example, [G], [ST] and their references.

This paper is inspired by the recent work of Song and Yan [SY] in which they introduced the weighted Hardy spaces $H^1_{L,w}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated to an operator L initially defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the assumptions that L is non-negative self-adjoint on L^2 (assumption (H1) in Section 3.1) and that L has Gaussian heat kernel bounds (assumption (H3) in Section 3.1). As an application, it was shown in [SY] that when the operator L is the Schrödinger operator with a non-negative potential, the Riesz transform associated to L is bounded from the weighted Hardy space $H^1_{L,w}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the classical weighted Hardy space $H^1_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In this paper, we extend the work in [SY] on $H^1_{L,w}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in several aspects. We define and study weighted Hardy space $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ in the general setting:

(i) The underlying space X is a space of homogeneous type, i.e. a metric space with doubling property.

(ii) The index p is in the range $0 < p \leq 1$.

(iii) L is assumed to satisfy the weaker assumption of Davies-Gaffney estimate (assumption (H2) in Section 3.1) instead of the stronger assumption of Gaussian heat kernel bound.

In comparison with [SY], our approach is different from that in [SY]. Let us remind that the Gaussian heat kernel upper bound and the setting of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ seem to be indispensable and play an essential role in the approach of [SY]. One of the key estimates used in [SY] is the equivalences of different weighted area integral norms in [ST]. Moreover, their approach relied heavily on a geometric argument. However, in the setting of homogeneous spaces without Gaussian upper bound condition, it is not clear whether or not their approach still work. In this paper, using the different approach by introducing new weighted tent spaces (see Section 3.3) and exploiting the similar approach to that of [HLMMY], we extend the results in [SY] to spaces of homogeneous type X under the weaker assumption of Davies-Gaffney estimate.

To demonstrate practical applications of our study of weighted Hardy spaces, we consider certain singular integral operators whose kernels are not smooth enough for the operators to belong to the class of standard Calderón-Zygmund operators. We show that some of these singular integrals are bounded on weighted Hardy spaces associated to an (appropriate) operator L.

The layout of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review the concept of doubling space and the main properties of the Muckenhoupt weights and reverse Hölder weights. In Section 3, we introduce the weighted Hardy spaces associated to operators $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$ by using the area integral norm, then obtain an atomic characterization of elements in $H^p_{L,w}(X)$. In Section 4, we show that certain singular integral operators are bounded on $H^p_{L,w}(X)$. These operators have non-smooth kernels and they include the Riesz transforms associated to magnetic Schrödinger operators, Riesz transforms associated to an operator and spectral multipliers of non-negative self-adjoint operator.

After finishing our paper, we had learned that in [YY] the authors studied Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces associated to such an operator L. However, it seems that there are some differences in obtaining the atomic decomposition of the weighted tent spaces and the condition on the weights between our paper and [YY]. Moreover, the the kind of singular integrals considered in our paper is also different from that in [YY]. So, the results obtained in this paper are still interesting in their own rights.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Doubling metric spaces

Let X be a metric space, with distance d and μ is a nonnegative, Borel, doubling measure on X. Throughout this paper, we assume that $\mu(X) = \infty$.
Denote by $B(x,r)$ the open ball of radius $r > 0$ and center $x \in M$, and by $V(x,r)$ its measure $\mu(B(x,r))$. The doubling property of μ provides that there exists a constant $C > 0$ so that

$$V(x,2r) \leq CV(x,r) \tag{1}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $r > 0$.
Notice that the doubling property (1) implies that following property that

$$V(x,\lambda r) \leq C\lambda^n V(x,r), \tag{2}$$

for some positive constant n uniformly for all $\lambda \geq 1, x \in M$ and $r > 0$. There also exists a constant $0 \leq N \leq n$ such that

$$V(x,r) \leq C\Big(1 + \frac{d(x,y)}{r}\Big)^N V(y,r), \tag{3}$$

uniformly for all $x, y \in X$ and $r > 0$.

To simplify notation, we will often just use B for $B(x_B, r_B)$ and $V(E)$ for $\mu(E)$ for any measurable subset $E \subset X$. Also given $\lambda > 0$, we will write λB for the λ-dilated ball, which is the ball with the same center as B and with radius $r_{\lambda B} = \lambda r_B$. For each ball $B \subset X$ we set

$$S_0(B) = B \text{ and } S_j(B) = 2^j B \backslash 2^{j-1} B \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

2.2 Muckenhoupt weights

Throughout this article, we shall denote $w(E) := \int_E w(x)d\mu(x)$ for any measurable set $E \subset X$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ let p' be the conjugate exponent of p, i.e. $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

We first introduce some notation. We use the notation

$$⨍_B h(x)d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{V(B)} \int_B h(x)d\mu(x).$$

A weight w is a non-negative measurable and locally integrable function on X. We say that $w \in A_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, if there exists a constant C such that for every ball $B \subset X$,

$$\Big(⨍_B w(x)d\mu(x)\Big)\Big(⨍_B w^{-1/(p-1)}(x)d\mu(x)\Big)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

For $p = 1$, we say that $w \in A_1$ if there is a constant C such that for every ball $B \subset X$,

$$⨍_B w(x)d\mu(x) \leq Cw(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in B.$$

The reverse Hölder classes are defined in the following way: $w \in RH_q, 1 < q < \infty$, if there is a constant C such that for any ball $B \subset X$,

$$\Big(\fint_B w^q(y) d\mu(y) \Big)^{1/q} \le C \fint_B w d\mu(x).$$

The endpoint $q = \infty$ is given by the condition: $w \in RH_\infty$ whenever, there is a constant C such that for any ball $B \subset X$,

$$w(x) \le C \fint_B w(y) d\mu(y) \text{ for a.e. } x \in B.$$

Let $w \in A_p$, for $1 \le p < \infty$, the weighted spaces L^p_w can be defined by

$$\Big\{ f : \int_X f(x)^p w(x) d\mu(x) < \infty \Big\}$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(w)} = (\int_X f(x)^p w(x) d\mu(x))^{1/p}$$

.

We sum up some of the properties of A_p classes in the following results, see [Du].

Lemma 2.1 *The following properties hold:*

(i) $A_1 \subset A_p \subset A_q$ *for* $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$.

(ii) $RH_\infty \subset RH_q \subset RH_p$ *for* $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$.

(iii) If $w \in A_p, 1 < p < \infty$, *then there exists* $1 < q < p$ *such that* $w \in A_q$.

(iv) If $w \in RH_q, 1 < q < 1$, *then there exists* $q < p < 1$ *such that* $w \in RH_p$.

(v) $A_\infty = \cup_{1 \le p < \infty} A_p \subset \cup_{1 < p \le \infty} RH_p$

Lemma 2.2 *For any ball B, any measurable subset E of B and $w \in A_p, p \ge 1$, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$C_1 \Big(\frac{V(E)}{V(B)} \Big)^p \le \frac{w(E)}{w(B)}.$$

If $w \in RH_r, r > 1$. Then, there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{w(E)}{w(B)} \le C_2 \Big(\frac{V(E)}{V(B)} \Big)^{\frac{r-1}{r}}.$$

From the first inequality of Lemma 2.2, if $w \in A_1$ then there exists a constant $C > 0$ so that for any ball $B \subset X$ and $\lambda > 1$, we have

$$w(\lambda B) \le C \lambda^n w(B).$$

3 Weighted Hardy spaces associated to operators

3.1 Definition of weighted Hardy spaces

In this paper we consider the following conditions:
(**H1**) L is a non-negative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(X)$;
(**H2**) The operator L generates an analytic semigroup $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ which satisfies the Davies-Gaffney condition. That is, there exist constants C, $c > 0$ such that for any open subsets $U_1, U_2 \subset X$,

$$|\langle e^{-tL} f_1, f_2\rangle| \leq C \exp\Big(-\frac{\mathrm{dist}(U_1, U_2)^2}{c\,t}\Big)\|f_1\|_{L^2(X)}\|f_2\|_{L^2(X)}, \quad \forall\, t > 0, \tag{4}$$

for every $f_i \in L^2(X)$ with $\mathrm{supp}\, f_i \subset U_i$, $i = 1, 2$, where $\mathrm{dist}(U_1, U_2) := \inf_{x\in U_1, y\in U_2} d(x, y)$.

(**H3**) The kernel of e^{-tL} denote by $p_t(x, y)$ which satisfies the Gaussian upper bound. That is, there exist constants C, $c > 0$ such that for almost every $x, y \in X$,

$$|p_t(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{V(x, \sqrt{t})} \exp\Big(-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{ct}\Big), \forall t > 0. \tag{5}$$

It is not difficult to show that condition $(H3)$ implies $(H2)$.

Let L be an operator satisfying $(H1)$ and $(H2)$. Set

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(L)} = \{Lu \in L^2(X) : u \in L^2(X)\}.$$

It is known that $L^2(X) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(L)} \oplus \mathcal{N}(L)$, where $\mathcal{R}(L)$ and $\mathcal{N}(L)$ stand for the range and the kernel of L, and the sum is orthogonal.

For $w \in A_\infty$ and $0 < p < \infty$, the Hardy space $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ is defined as the completion of

$$\{f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(L)} : \|S_L f\| \in L^p(w)\}$$

in the norm $\|f\|_{H^p_{L,w}(X)} = \|S_L f\|_{L^p(w)}$, where

$$S_L f(x) = \Big(\int\int_{d(x,y)<t} |t^2 L e^{-t^2 L} f(y)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)}{V(x, t)} \frac{dt}{t}\Big).$$

Remark 3.1 *When $w = 1$ the Hardy spaces $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ were introduced in [HLMMY] and $p = 1$. The particular case when $p = 1$, $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, and L satisfies (H1) and (H3) the Hardy space $H^1_{L,w}(X)$ was studied in [SY].*

We next describe the notion of an (M, p, w)-atom and a (M, p, w, ϵ)-molecule associated to the operator L.

Definition 3.2 *Suppose $w \in A_\infty$. We say that a function $a \in L^2(X)$ is an (M,p,w)-atom associated to an operator L, if there exists a function b which belongs to $D(L^M)$, the domain of L^M, and a ball B of X such that*

(i) $a = L^M b$;

(ii) $\mathrm{supp} L^k b \subset B, k = 0, 1, \dots, M$;

(iii) $\|(r_B^2 L)^k b\|_{L^2(X)} \leq r_B^{2M} V(B)^{1/2} w(B)^{-1/p}, k = 0, 1, \dots, M.$

Definition 3.3 *Suppose $w \in A_\infty$. We say that a function $m \in L^2(X)$ is a (M,p,w,ϵ)-atom associated to an operator L, if there exists a function b which belongs to $D(L^M)$, the domain of L^M; and a ball B of X such that*

(i) $a = L^M b$;

(ii) $\|(r_B^2 L)^k b\|_{L^2(S_j(B))} \leq 2^{-j\epsilon} r_B^{2M} V(2^j B)^{1/2} w(2^j B)^{-1/p}, k = 0, 1, \dots, M$ *and* $j = 0, 1, \dots$.

It is not difficult to show that an (M,p,w)-atom associated to the ball B is also a (M,p,w,ϵ)-atom associated to the ball same ball B.

We will say that $f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j$ is an atomic (M,p,w)-representation if $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \in l^p$, each a_j is a (M,p,w)-atom, and the sum converges in $L^2(X)$. Set

$$\mathbb{H}^p_{L,w,at}(X) := \{f : f \text{ has an atomic } (M,p,w)\text{-representation}\}$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^p_{L,w,at}(X)} = \inf\{\Big(\sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|^p\Big)^{1/p} : f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j \text{ is an atomic } (M,p,w)\text{-representation}\}.$$

3.2 Finite propagation speed for the wave equation

Let L satisfy $(H1)$ and $(H2)$ and let $K_{\cos(t\sqrt{L})}$ be the kernel of the operator $\cos(t\sqrt{L})$. Then there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{supp} K_{\cos(t\sqrt{L})} \subset \{(x,y) \in X \times X : d(x,y) \leq c_0 t\}, \tag{6}$$

see for example [S].

Lemma 3.4 *Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be even and* $\mathrm{supp}\varphi \subset (-c_0^{-1}, c_0^{-1})$, *where c_0 as in (6). Let Φ denote the Fourier transform of φ. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t > 0$, the kernel $K_{(t^2L)^k \Phi(t\sqrt{L})}$ of $(t^2 L)^k \Phi(t\sqrt{L})$ satisfies*

$$\mathrm{supp} K_{(t^2L)^k\Phi(t\sqrt{L})} \subset \{(x,y) \in X \times X : d(x,y) \leq t\}.$$

Lemma 3.5 *Let L be an operator satisfying (H1) and (H2). For every $k = 0, 1, \dots$, the operator $(tL)^k e^{-tL}$ satisfies Davies-Gaffney estimates (H2).*

For the proof we refer the reader to Lemma 3.5 in [HLMMY].

3.3 Weighted tent spaces

For the measurable function F defined on $X \times (0, \infty)$, we set

$$\mathcal{A}(F)(x) = \Big(\int_{\Gamma(x)} |F(y,t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)}{V(x,t)} \frac{dt}{t} \Big)^{1/2}$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the cone $\{(y,t) : d(x,y) < t\}$.

For $0 < p \leq 1$ and $w \in L^1_{loc}(X)$ we introduce the tent space $T^p(w)$ as those functions F such that $\mathcal{A}F \in L^p(w)$ and we set $\|F\|_{T^p(w)} = \|\mathcal{A}(F)\|_{L^p(w)}$. Note that our weighted tent spaces $T^p(w)$ can be considered an extension of those in [CMS] when $w \equiv 1$ and $X = \mathbb{R}^n$. In the case that $w \equiv 1$, we write $T^p(X)$ instead of $T^p(w)$. Another version of weighted tent spaces was used in [HSV] but this version is not suitable to our purpose.

Given the ball B we denote by $\widehat{B}$ the tent over B, i.e, $\widehat{B} = \{(y,t) : d(x,y) + t < r\}$. Now a function $a(y,t)$ is said to be an $T^p(w)$-atom whenever it is supported in $\widehat{B}$ and

$$\Big(\int_{\widehat{B}} |a(y,t)|^2 \frac{w(B(y,t))}{V(y,t)} \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t} \Big)^{1/2} \leq w(B)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}. \tag{7}$$

Let $W(y,t) = \frac{w(B(y,t))}{V(y,t)}$. Then the LHS of (7) is just $\|a\|_{L^2(W)}$. It is not difficult to check that an $T^p(w)$-atom belongs to $T^p(w)$ for $w \in A_1 \cap RH_{\frac{2}{2-p}}$.

An important result concerning weighted tent spaces is that each function in $T^p(w)$ has an atomic decomposition. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.6 *Let $w \in A_1 \cap RH_{\frac{2}{2-p}}$ and $F \in T^p(w), 0 < p \leq 1$. Then there exist a sequence of $T^p(w)$-atoms $\{a_j\}_j$ and the sequence of numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_j$ such that*

$$F = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j \tag{8}$$

and

$$\sum_j |\lambda_j|^p \leq C \|F\|^p_{T^p(w)}. \tag{9}$$

Moreover, if $F \in T^p(w) \cap T^2(X)$ then the series in (8) converges in both $T^p(w)$ and $T^2(X)$.

Before giving the proof for Theorem 3.6 we need the following technical lemma which is an extension of [HSV, Proposition 3] to spaces of homogeneous type.

Lemma 3.7 *Let $w \in A_\infty$ and B a ball in X. Then there exists a constant C such that, for every measure function F defined on $X \times (0, \infty)$ and every measurable set $E \subset B$, we have*

$$\int_{\widehat{B} \backslash \widehat{\Omega}} |F(y,t)|^2 \frac{w(B(y,t))}{V(y,t)} \frac{d\mu(y)dt}{t} \leq C \int_{B \backslash E} |\mathcal{A}(F)(x)|^2 w(x) d\mu(x),$$

where $\Omega = \{x \in B : \mathcal{M}(\chi_E)(x) > \gamma\}$ for $\gamma \in (0,1)$, γ sufficiently small ($\mathcal{M}$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function).

Proof: Set $S = \{(x, y, t) \in (B \backslash E) \times (\widehat{B} \backslash \widehat{\Omega}) : y \in \Gamma(x)\}$ and $S(y, t) = B(y, t) \cap (B \backslash E)$. For $(y, t) \in \widehat{B} \backslash \widehat{\Omega}$ we can pick x_0 such that $x_0 \notin \Omega$ and $x_0 \in B(y, t) \subset B$. This implies $\mathcal{M}_{\chi_E}(x_0) \leq \gamma$, and hence

$$\mu(B(y, t) \cap E) \leq \gamma V(y, t).$$

Due to $w \in A_\infty$, this implies $w(B(y, t) \cap E) \leq \gamma C w(B(y, t))$.

This together with $B(y, t) \subset B$ gives

$$w(B(y, t) \cap (B \backslash E)) > (1 - \gamma C) w(B(y, t))$$

provided with γ is small enough.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\widehat{B} \backslash \widehat{\Omega}} |F(y, t)|^2 \frac{w(B(y, t))}{V(y, t)} \frac{d\mu(y) dt}{t} &\leq C \int_S |F(y, t)|^2 \int_{S(y, t)} w(x) d\mu(x) \frac{d\mu(y)}{V(y, t)} \frac{dt}{t} \\
&= C \int_{\widehat{B} \backslash \widehat{\Omega}} |F(y, t)|^2 w(x) d\mu(x) \frac{d\mu(y)}{V(y, t)} \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\leq C \int_{B \backslash E} w(x) \Big(\int_{\Gamma(x)} |F(y, t)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)}{V(y, t)} \frac{dt}{t} \Big) d\mu(x) \\
&= C \int_{B \backslash E} A^2(F)(x) w(x) d\mu(x).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof.

We now prove Theorem 3.6.

Proof of Theorem 3.6: For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $E_k = \{x : \mathcal{A}F(x) > 2^k\}$ and $\Omega_k = \{x : \mathcal{M}(\chi_{E_k})(x) > \gamma\}$ for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then $E_k \subset \Omega_k$ and $\mu(\Omega_k) \leq C\mu(E_k)$ for all k. Moreover since $w \in A_1$ we also obtain $w(\Omega_k) \leq C(w) w(E_k)$ for sufficiently small γ. It can be verified that supp$F \subset \cup \widehat{\Omega}_k$.

For each k, due to [CW, Theorem 1.3, Chapter III] we can pick a family of balls $\{Q_k^j\}_j$ of Ω_k satisfying the following three conditions:

(i) $\Omega_k = \cup_j Q_k^j$;

(ii) there exists a constant κ which depends only of X such that $\sum_j \chi_{Q_k^j} \leq \kappa$;

(iii) there exists a constant C_0 such that $C_0 Q_k^j \cap (\Omega_k)^c \neq \emptyset$.

Taking $C_1 > C_0 + 1$ and setting $B_k^j = C_1 Q_k^j$ we have

$$\widehat{\Omega}_k \backslash \widehat{\Omega}_{k+1} \subset \cup_j A_k^j$$

with

$$A_k^j = \widehat{B}_k^j \cap (Q_k^j \times (0,\infty)) \cap (\widehat{\Omega}_k \backslash \widehat{\Omega}_{k+1}).$$

We define $a_k^j = 2^{-(k+1)} w(B_k^j)^{-1/p} F \chi_{A_k^j}$ and $\lambda_k^j = 2^{(k+1)} w(B_k^j)^{1/p}$. Then obviously,

$$F = \sum_{k,j} \lambda_k^j a_k^j.$$

Moreover, we have, by Lemma 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a_k^j\|_{L^2(W)}^2 &\leq 2^{-2(k+1)} w(B_k^j)^{-2/p} \int_{\widehat{B}_k^j \backslash \widehat{\Omega}_{k+1}} |F(y,t)|^2 \frac{w(B(y,t))}{V(y,t)} \frac{dydt}{t} \\
&\leq C 2^{-2(k+1)} w(B_k^j)^{-2/p} \int_{B_k^j \backslash E_{k+1}} |\mathcal{A}(F)(x)|^2 w(x) d\mu(x) \\
&\leq C w(B_k^j)^{1-2/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a_k^j is a multiple $T^p(w)$ atom by a constant.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k,j} |\lambda_k^j|^p &= \sum_{k,j} 2^{p(k+1)} w(B_k^j) \\
&\leq C \sum_{k,j} 2^{p(k+1)} w(Q_k^j) \\
&\leq C \sum_{k,j} 2^{p(k+1)} w(Q_k^j).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{Q_k^j\}_j$ is finite overlap, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k,j} |\lambda_k^j|^p &\leq C \sum_k 2^{p(k+1)} w(\Omega_k) \\
&\leq C \sum_k 2^{p(k+1)} w(E_k) \\
&= C \sum_k 2^{p(k+1)} w\{x : \mathcal{A}F(x) > 2^k\} \\
&\leq C \|\mathcal{A}F\|_{L^p(w)}^p = \|F\|_{T^p(w)}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

At this stage, the same argument as in [JY, Proposition 3.1] shows that if $F \in T^p(w) \cap T^2(X)$ then the identity (8) converges in both $T^p(w)$ and $T^2(X)$.

Let us denote by $T_c^p(w)$ and $T_c^p(X)$ the spaces of those functions in $T^p(w)$ and $T^p(X)$ with bounded support respectively. The following result will play an important role in the sequel.

Lemma 3.8 *For $w \in A_1 \cap RH_{\frac{2}{2-p}}$, the space $T_c^p(w)$ and $T_c^2(X)$ coincide for all $p \in (0,1]$.*

Proof: The same argument as in [CMS, p. 306] gives $T_c^p(X) \subset T_c^2(X)$ for all $p \in (0,2]$. By Hölder inequality we obtain $T_c^2(X) \subset T_c^p(X)$ for all $p \in (0,2]$, and hence $T_c^p(X)$ and $T_c^2(X)$ coincide for all $p \in (0,2]$.

Suppose that $f \in T_c^2(X)$ with $\mathrm{supp} f \subset K$ for some bounded set K. Then there exists a ball $\widehat{B} \supset K$ such that $\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{A}f \subset B$. By Hölder inequality we have for all $p \in (0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{A}f\|^p_{L^p(w)} &= \int_B |\mathcal{A}f(x)|^p w(x) d\mu(x)\\ &\leq \Big(\int_B |\mathcal{A}f(x)|^2 d\mu(x)\Big)^{p/2}\Big(\int_B w^{\frac{2}{2-p}}\Big)^{\frac{2-p}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $w \in A_1 \cap RH_{\frac{2}{2-p}}$ there exists $c(p,w,B)$ such that

$$\Big(\int_B w^{\frac{2}{2-p}}\Big)^{\frac{2-p}{p}} \leq c(p,w,B).$$

This implies $\|\mathcal{A}f\|^p_{L^p(w)} \leq c\|f\|_{T^2(X)}$. Therefore, $T_c^2(X) \supset T_c^p(w)$ for all $p \in (0,1]$.

Conversely, for any $f \in T_c^p(w)$ with $\mathrm{supp} f \subset K$ for some bounded set K. Let B be the ball satisfying $K \subset \widehat{B}$ and $\mathrm{supp}\mathcal{A}f \subset B$. For any $0 < r < p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{T^r(X)} = \|\mathcal{A}f\|^r_{L^r(X)} &= \int_B |\mathcal{A}f(x)|^r d\mu(x) = \int_B |\mathcal{A}f(x)|^r w(x)^{r/p} w(x)^{-r/p} d\mu(x)\\ &\leq \Big(\int_B |\mathcal{A}f(x)|^p w(x)\Big)^{r/p}\Big(\int_B w(x)^{(-r/p)(p/r)'} d\mu(x)\Big)^{\frac{1}{(p/r)'}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $w \in A_1$, $w^{(-r/p)(p/r)'} \in A_\infty$. This implies $w^{(-r/p)(p/r)'}$ is a doubling measure. So,

$$\Big(\int_B w(x)^{(-r/p)(p/r)'} d\mu(x)\Big)^{\frac{1}{(p/r)'}} \leq C.$$

It therefore follows $T_c^p(w) \subset T_c^r(X)$. At this stage, using the fact that $T_c^r(X)$ and $T_c^2(X)$ coincide, the proof is complete.

3.4 Atomic characterization of weighted Hardy spaces $H^p_{L,w}(X)$

Let φ and Φ be as in Lemma 3.4. Setting, $\Psi(t) = t^{2(M+1)}\Phi(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then for all $f \in L_c^2(X \times (0,\infty))$, the set of all functions in $L^2(X \times (0,\infty))$ with bounded support, and for $x \in X$, define

$$\pi_{\Psi,L} f = c_\Psi \int_0^\infty \Psi(t\sqrt{L})(f(\cdot,x))(t)\frac{dt}{t},$$

where c_Ψ is a constant such that

$$1 = c_\Psi \int_0^\infty \Psi(t) t^2 e^{-t^2}\frac{dt}{t}.$$

Proposition 3.9 *Let* L *satisfy (H1) and (H2),* $M > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$*,* $p \in (0,1]$ *and* $w \in A_1 \cap RH_{\frac{2}{2-p}}$*. Then*

(i) the operator $\pi_{\Psi,L}$*, initially defined on* $T^2_c(X)$*, extends to a bounded linear operator from* $T^2(X)$ *to* $L^2(X)$*.*

(ii) the operator $\pi_{\Psi,L}$*, initially defined on* $T^p_c(w)$*, extends to a bounded linear operator from* $T^p(w)$ *to* $H^p_{L,w}(X)$*.*

Proof: (i) The proof of (i) is standard and we omit the detail.

(ii) Let $f \in T^p_c(w)$. It is easy to see that $f \in T^2_c(X)$. Then by Theorem 3.6 we have

$$\pi_{\Psi,L}f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \pi_{\Psi,L}(a_j)$$

in L^2 with $\{\lambda_j\}$ and $\{\lambda_j\}$ satisfying (8) and (9). Since S_L is bounded on L^2, we obtain that

$$\|S_L(\pi_{\Psi,L}f)\|^p_{L^p(w)} \leq \sum_j |\lambda_j|^p \|S_L(\pi_{\Psi,L}a_j)\|^p_{L^p(w)}.$$

We now claim that $\pi_{\Psi,L}a_j)$ is a multiple of an (M,p,w) atom for each j. Indeed, we can write $\pi_{\Psi,L}a_j) = L^M b_j$ where

$$b_j = c_\Psi \int_0^\infty t^{2M} t^2 L\Phi(t\sqrt{L})(a_j(\cdot,t))\frac{dt}{t}.$$

Let us note that for each j there exists some ball B_j such that $\text{supp} a_j \subset \widehat{B_j}$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.4 we have $\text{supp} L^k b_j \subset B_j$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, M$. On the other hand, for any $h \in L^2(B_j)$, by the Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int (r^2_{B_j}L)^k b_j(x)h(x)d\mu(x)\Big| \\
&\quad = \Big|\int t^{2M}(r^2_{B_j}L)^k t^2 L\Phi(t\sqrt{L})(a_j(\cdot,t))(x)h(x)\frac{dt}{t}d\mu(x)\Big| \\
&\quad \leq C r^{2M}_{B_j}\Big(\int_{X\times(0,\infty)} |a_j(x,t)|^2 \frac{w(B(x,t))}{V(x,t)}\frac{V(x,t)}{w(B(x,t))}\frac{dt}{t}d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\qquad \times \Big(\int_{X\times(0,\infty)} |(t^2L)^{k+1}\Phi(t\sqrt{L})(x)h(x)|^2\frac{dt}{t}d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(x,t) \in \widehat{B_j}$, the ball $B(x,t) \subset B_j$. Using the fact that $w \in A_1$, we have

$$\frac{V(x,t)}{w(B(x,t))} \leq \frac{V(B_j)}{w(B_j)}$$

for all $(x,t) \in \widehat{B_j}$.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int (r_{B_j}^2 L)^k b_j(x)h(x)d\mu(x)\Big| &\leq Cr_{B_j}^{2M}\frac{V(B_j)}{w(B_j)}\|a\|_{L^2(W)}\|h\|_{L^2(B_j)}\\ &\leq Cr_{B_j}^{2M}\frac{V(B_j)^{1/2}}{w(B)^{1/2}}w(B_j)^{1/2-1/p}\|h\|_{L^2(B_j)}\\ &= Cr_{B_j}^{2M}V(B_j)^{1/2}w(B_j)^{-1/p}\|h\|_{L^2(B_j)}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies $\pi_{\Psi,L}a_j)$ is a multiple of an (M,p,w) atom for each j.

To complete the proof, it is suffice to show that for any (M,p,w) atom a in $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ we have

$$\|S_L a\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C. \tag{10}$$

Indeed, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_L a\|^p_{L^p(w)} &= \int_X |S_L a(x)|^p w(x)d\mu(x)\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\int_{S_j(B)} |S_L a(x)|^p w(x)d\mu(x)\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} I_j.\end{aligned}$$

For $j=0,1,2$ we have, by Hölder inequality, L^2-boundedness of S_L, and $w\in A_1\cap RH_{\frac{2}{2-p}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}I_j &\leq \|S_L a\|^p_{L^2}\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} w^{\frac{2}{2-p}}\Big)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}\\ &\leq C\|a\|^p_{L^2}V(2^jB)^{-p/2}w(2^jB)\\ &\leq CV(B)^{p/2}w(B)^{-1}V(2^jB)^{-p/2}w(2^jB).\end{aligned}$$

Since $w\in A_1$, we have

$$\frac{w(2^jB)}{w(B)} \leq C\frac{V(2^jB)}{V(B)}$$

This implies

$$I_j \leq CV(B)^{p/2-1}V(2^jB)^{1-p/2} \leq C \text{ for j=0,1,2.}$$

For $j\geq 3$, we have

$$I_j \leq \|S_L a\|^p_{L^2}\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} w^{\frac{2}{2-p}}\Big)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \leq C\|S_L a\|^p_{L^2}V(2^jB)^{-p/2}w(2^jB)$$

To estimate $\|S_L a\|_{L^2}^p$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_L a\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{S_j(B)} \Big(\int_0^{\frac{d(x,x_B)}{4}} + \int_{\frac{d(x,x_B)}{4}}^{\infty} \Big) \int_{d(x,y)<t} |t^2 L e^{-t^2 L} a(y)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)}{V(x,t)} \frac{dt}{t} d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_{S_j(B)} \Big(\int_0^{\frac{d(x,x_B)}{4}} + \int_{\frac{d(x,x_B)}{4}}^{\infty} \Big) \int_{d(x,y)<t} |(t^2 L)^{M+1} e^{-t^2 L} b(y)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)}{V(x,y)} \frac{dt}{t^{4M+1}} d\mu(x) \\
&= J_1 + J_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $a = L^M b$.
Setting $F_j(B) := \{y : d(x,y) < \frac{d(x,x_B)}{4} \text{ for some } x \in S_j(B)\}$, then $d(B, F_j(B)) \geq 2^{j-2} r_B$.
By the fact that $\int_{d(x,y)<t} \frac{1}{V(x,t)} d\mu(x) < C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &\leq \int_0^{2^j r_B} \int_{F_j(B)} |(t^2 L)^{M+1} e^{-t^2 L} b(y)|^2 d\mu(y) \frac{dt}{t^{4M+1}} \\
&\leq \|b\|_{L^2}^2 \int_0^{2^j r_B} \exp\Big(- \frac{d^2(B, F_j(B))}{ct^2} \Big) \frac{dt}{t^{4M+1}} \\
&\leq r_B^{4M} V(B) w(B)^{-2/p} \int_0^{2^j r_B} \Big(\frac{t}{2^j r_B} \Big)^{4M+1} \frac{dt}{t^{4M+1}} \\
&\leq 2^{-4jM} V(B) w(B)^{-2/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term J_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\leq \int_{2^{j-1} r_B}^{\infty} |(t^2 L)^{M+1} e^{-t^2 L} b(y)|^2 d\mu(y) \frac{dt}{t^{4M+1}} \\
&\leq \|b\|_{L^2}^2 \int_{2^{j-1} r_B}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{4M+1}} \\
&\leq 2^{-4jM} V(B) w(B)^{-2/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining above estimates of J_1 and J_2, using $w \in A_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_j &\leq C 2^{-2jpM} V(2^j B)^{-p/2} w(2^j B) V(B)^{p/2} w(B)^{-1} \\
&\leq C 2^{-2jpM} V(2^j B)^{-p/2} V(2^j B) V(B)^{p/2} V(B)^{-1} \\
&\leq C 2^{-2jMp} 2^{-jnp/2} 2^{jn} = C 2^{-j(2M + \frac{np}{2} - n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $M > \frac{n}{2p} - \frac{n}{4}$, $\|S_L a\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C$.

Theorem 3.10 *Let L satisfy (H1) and (H2). Let $M \geq \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$ and $w \in A_1$. If $f \in H^p_{L,w}(X) \cap L^2(X)$, then there exists a family of (M,p,w)-atoms $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ and a sequence of numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ such that f can be represented in the form $f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$, and the sum converges in the sense of $L^2(X)$-norm. Moreover,*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p \leq C \|f\|^p_{H^p_{L,w}(X)}.$$

Proof: Let $f \in f \in H^p_{L,w}(X) \cap L^2(X)$. Then $t^2 L e^{-t^2 L} f \in T^p(w) \cap T^2(X)$. Then we obtain

$$f = \pi_{\Psi,L}(t^2 L e^{-t^2 L} f).$$

By using the argument as in Proposition 3.9 we complete the proof.

Lemma 3.11 *Suppose that T is a linear (resp. nonnegative sublinear) operator which maps $L^2(X)$ continuously into $L^{2,\infty}(X)$. Let $0 < p \le 1$ and $w \in A_\infty$.*

- *(i) If there exists a constant C such that*

  $$||Ta||_{L^p_w} \le C$$

  for all (M, p, w)-atoms a, then T extends to a bounded linear (resp. sublinear) operator from $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ to $L^p(w)$.

- *(ii) If there exists a constant C such that*

  $$||Ta||_{H^p_{L,w}(X)} \le C$$

  for all (M, p, w)-atoms a, then T extends to a bounded linear (resp. sublinear) operator on $H^p_{L,w}(X)$.

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.3 in [HM]; hence we omit the details here.

Combining Lemma 3.11 with the statement (10), we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.12 *Given $w \in A_1 \cap RH_2$ and $M > \frac{n}{2p} - \frac{n}{4}$. Let $f = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$, where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \in l^p$, a_j's are (M, p, w)-atoms, and the sum converges in $L^2(X)$. Then $f \in H^p_{L,w}(X) \cap L^2(X)$ and*

$$\Big\| \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j a_j \Big\|^p_{H^p_{L,w}(X)} \le C \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|^p.$$

3.5 Molecular characterization of weighted Hardy spaces $H^p_{L,w}(X)$

The weighted Hardy spaces $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ can be also characterized in molecular decomposition. More specifically, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.13 *Let L satisfy (H1) and (H2).*

- *(i) Let $M \ge 1$ and $w \in A_1 \cap RH_{\frac{2}{2-p}}$. If $f \in H^p_{L,w}(X) \cap L^2(X)$, then there exists a family of (M, p, w, ϵ)-molecules $\{m_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ and a sequence of numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ such that f can be represented in the form $f = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j m_j$, and the sum converges in the sense of $L^2(X)$-norm. Moreover,*

  $$\sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|^p \le C \|f\|^p_{H^p_{L,w}(X)}.$$

(ii) Conversely, given $w \in A_1 \cap RH_2$ and $M > \frac{n}{2p} - \frac{n}{4}$. Let $f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$, where $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \in l^p$, m_j's are (M, p, w, ϵ)-molecules, and the sum converges in $L^2(X)$. Then $f \in H^p_{L,w}(X) \cap L^2(X)$ and

$$\Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j m_j \Big\|^p_{H^p_{L,w}(X)} \le C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p.$$

Proof: (i) The proof of (i) is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.10, since an (M, p, w)-atom is also a (M, p, w, ϵ)-molecule for all $\epsilon > 0$.

(ii) The proof of (ii) is similar to that of Theorem 3.12. The main difference is that the support of (M, p, w, ϵ)-molecule is not the ball B. However, we can overcome this difficulty by decomposing X into annuli associated with the ball B, then using the same argument as in Theorem 3.12 to get (ii). We omit the details here.

4 Boundedness of singular integrals with non-smooth kernels

4.1 Generalized Riesz transforms

Assume that L satisfies (H1) and (H2). Also assume that D is a densely defined linear operator on $L^2(X)$ which possesses the following properties:

(i) $DL^{-1/2}$ is bounded on L^2;

(ii) the family operators $\{\sqrt{t} D e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ satisfies the Davies-Gaffney estimate (H2).

Examples of such an operator D include gradient operator in the Euclidean space and the Riemannian gradient on complete Riemannian manifolds, see for example [A, ACDH, CD].

Theorem 4.1 *For any $f \in H^p_{L,w}(X)$ for $0 < p \le 1$ and $w \in A_1 \cap RH_{\frac{2}{2-p}}$,*

$$||DL^{-1/2}(f)||_{L^p(w)} \le C||f||_{H^p_{L,w}(X)}.$$

Before giving the proof of Theorem 4.1, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2 *For every $M \in \mathbb{N}$, all closed sets E, F in X with $d(E, F) > 0$ and every $f \in L^2(X)$ supported in E, one has*

$$||DL^{-1/2}(I - e^{-t\Delta})^M f||_{L^2(F)} \le C\Big(\frac{t}{d(E, F)^2}\Big)^M ||f||_{L^2(E)}, \ \forall t > 0, \tag{11}$$

and

$$||DL^{-1/2}(t\Delta e^{-t\Delta})^M f||_{L^2(F)} \le C\Big(\frac{t}{d(E, F)^2}\Big)^M ||f||_{L^2(E)}, \ \forall t > 0. \tag{12}$$

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.2 in [HMa] and we omit it here.

We now prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1: Choose $M > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$. Let a is an (M,p,w)-atom associated to a ball B. Then there exists $b \in L^2(X)$ so that $a = L^M b$. Setting $T = DL^{-1/2}$, write

$$\begin{aligned}\|Ta\|^p_{L^p(w)} = &\int_X |Ta(x)|^p w(x) d\mu(x)\\ &\le \int_X |T((I - e^{r_B^2 L})^M a)(x)|^p w(x) d\mu(x)\\ &\qquad + \int_X |T([I - (I - e^{r_B^2 L})^M] a)(x)|^p w(x) d\mu(x)\\ &= I + II.\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the term I first. By Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I &\le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{S_k(B)} |T((I - e^{r_B^2 L})^M a)(x)|^p w(x) d\mu(x)\\ &\le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T((I - e^{r_B^2 L})^M a)\|^p_{L^2(S_k(B))} \Big(\int_{S_k(B)} w^{\frac{2}{2-p}} d\mu \Big)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}\\ &\le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T((I - e^{r_B^2 L})^M a)\|^p_{L^2(S_k(B))} \Big(\int_{S_k(B)} V(2^k B)^{-p/2} w(2^k B) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_k.\end{aligned}$$

For $k = 0, 1, 2$, one has

$$I_k \le C\|a\|^p_{L^2(B)} V(2^k B)^{-p/2} w(2^k B) \le CV(B)^{p/2} w(B)^{-1} V(2^k B)^{-p/2} w(2^k B).$$

Since $w \in A_1$, we have

$$\frac{w(2^k B)}{w(B)} \le C \frac{V(2^k B)}{V(B)}.$$

This implies for $k = 0, 1, 2$

$$I_k \le CV(B)^{p/2-1} V(2^k B)^{1-p/2} \le C.$$

For $k \ge 3$

$$\begin{aligned}||T((I - e^{r_B^2 L})^M a)||_{L^2(S_k(B))}&\\ &\le C2^{-2Mk} ||a||_{L^2(B)}\\ &\le C2^{-2Mk} V(B)^{1/2} w(B)^{-1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}I_k &\le C2^{-2Mpk} V(B)^{p/2} w(B)^{-1} V(2^k B)^{-p/2} w(2^k B)\\ &\le C2^{-2Mpk} V(B)^{p/2} V(B)^{-1} V(2^k B)^{-p/2} V(2^k B)\\ &\le C2^{-2Mpk} V(B)^{p/2-1} V(2^k B)^{1-p/2}\\ &\le C2^{-2Mpk+kn(1-p/2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Due to $M > \frac{n(2-p)}{4p}$, we obtain $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_k \leq C$.

For the term II, the same argument above gives

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{S_k(B)} |T([I-(I-e^{r_B^2 L})^M]a)(x)|^p w(x)d\mu(x) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T([I-(I-e^{r_B^2 L})^M]a)\|_{L^2(S_k(B))} V(2^k B)^{-p/2} w(2^k B) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} II_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we have

$$I-(I-e^{r_B^2 L})^M = \sum_{l=1}^{M} c_l e^{-l r_B^2 L},$$

where $c_l = (-1)^{l+1} \frac{M!}{(M-l)!l!}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
II_k &\leq C \sup_{1\leq l\leq M} \|T e^{-l r_B^2 L} a\|_{L^2(S_k(B))} \\
&\leq C \sup_{1\leq l\leq M} \Big\| T\Big(\frac{l}{M} r_B^2 L e^{-\frac{l}{M} r_B^2 L}\Big)^M (r_B^{-2} L^{-1})^M a \Big\|_{L^2(S_k(B))}.
\end{aligned}$$

At this point, by the same argument as in the estimate I_k, we also obtain that $II < C$. This therefore completes our proof.

4.2 Boundedness of Riesz transforms associated with magnetic Schrödinger operators

4.2.1 Magnetic Schrödinger operators and heat kernel estimates

Consider magnetic Schrödinger operators in general setting as in [DOY]. Let the real vector potential $\vec{a} = (a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ satisfy

$$a_k \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \forall k = 1, \cdots, n, \tag{13}$$

and an electric potential V with

$$0 \leq V \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{14}$$

Let $L_k = \partial/\partial x_k - i a_k$. We define the form Q by

$$Q(f,g) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} L_k f \overline{L_k g}\, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V f \overline{g}\, dx$$

with domain $D(Q) = \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{Q}$ here

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), L_k f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for } k = 1, \cdots, n \text{ and } \sqrt{V} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

It is well known that this symmetric form is closed and this form coincides with the minimal closure of the form given by the same expression but defined on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the space of C^∞ functions with compact supports). See, for example [Si].

Let us denote by A the non-negative self-adjoint operator associated with Q. The domain of A is given by

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \Big\{ f \in \mathcal{D}(Q), \exists g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ such that } Q(f, \varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g\bar{\varphi} dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \Big\},$$

and A is given by the expression

$$Af = \sum_{k=1}^{n} L_k^* L_k f + Vf. \tag{15}$$

Formally, we write $A = -(\nabla - i\vec{a}) \cdot (\nabla - i\vec{a}) + V$. The operator A generates a semigroup e^{-tA} which possesses a Gaussian kernel bound. Indeed, by the well known diamagnetic inequality (see, Theorem 2.3 of [Si] and [CFKS] for instance) we have the pointwise inequality

$$\big| e^{-tA} f(x) \big| \le e^{t\triangle} \big(|f| \big)(x) \quad \forall t > 0, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This inequality implies in particular that the semigroup e^{-tA} maps $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that the kernel $p_t(x,y)$ of e^{-tA} satisfies

$$\big| p_t(x,y) \big| \le (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\Big(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t} \Big) \tag{16}$$

for all $t > 0$ and almost all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

For $k = 1, \cdots, n$, the operators $L_k A^{-1/2}$ are called the Riesz transforms associated with A. It is easy to check that

$$\|L_k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|A^{1/2} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \qquad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(Q) = \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2}) \tag{17}$$

for any $k = 1, \cdots, n$, and hence the operators $L_k A^{-1/2}$ are bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that this is also true for $V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}$. Moreover, it was recently proved in Theorem 1.1 of [DOY] that for each $k = 1, \cdots, n$, the Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2} A^{-1/2}$ are bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < p \le 2$, i.e., there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\big\| V^{1/2} A^{-1/2} f \big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \big\| L_k A^{-1/2} f \big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{18}$$

for $1 < p \leq 2$.
The L^p-boundedness of Riesz transforms for the range $p > 2$ can be obtained if one imposes certain additional regularity conditions on the potential V, see for example [AB].

Let us recall that

(i) In [DOY], the boundedness of the Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}$ was proved for $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces with $1 < p < 2$;

(ii) Recently, [A] extended the results in [DOY] to weighted weak type $L^{1,\infty}$ estimates and weighted L^p estimates with an appropriate range of p (depending on the weight).

(iii) For $p \in (0, 1]$, it was proved that the Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}$ are bounded from H^p_A to L^p, where H^p_A denotes the unweighted Hardy space associated to operator A, see [AD].

It is natural to ask the question of the boundedness of the Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}$ on the Hardy spaces with weights on the range $0 < p \leq 1$. Our aim in this section is to give a positive answer and establish the weighted estimates for Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3 *If $w \in A_1 \cap RH_{\frac{2}{2-p}}$ then the Riesz transforms $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}$ are bounded from $H^p_{A,w}$ to L^p_w for all $0 < p \leq 1$.*

4.2.2 Proof of Theorem 4.3

The following propositions give the estimates for the operators $L_k A^{-1/2}$ and $V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}$ which will be useful for the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Proposition 4.4 *For all $m \geq 1$ there exists $C > 0$ such that for all balls B and all $f \in L^1(X)$ with support in B*

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}(I - e^{-r_B^2 A})^m f|^2 \, dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \; 2^{-2j(m-1)} \Big(\int_B |f|^2\Big)^{1/2} \tag{19}$$

and for all k,

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |L_k A^{-1/2}(I - e^{-r_B^2 A})^m f|^2 \, dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \; 2^{-2j(m-1)} \Big(\int_B |f|^2\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{20}$$

Proposition 4.5 *For all $m \geq 1$ and $t > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that for all balls B, $\frac{1}{m} r_B^2 \leq t \leq r_B^2$ and all f with support in B*

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}(tAe^{-tA})^m f|^2 \, dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C 2^{-2jm} \Big(\int_B |f|^2\Big)^{1/2} \tag{21}$$

and for all k,

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |L_k A^{-1/2}(tAe^{-tA})^m f|^2\ dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C2^{-2jm}\Big(\int_B |f|^2\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{22}$$

The proofs of Propositions 4.4 and 4.5 are standard and rely on the heat kernel estimates and we refer the reader to [AD] for the details of the proofs. From Propositions 4.4 and 4.5, the following estimates hold.

Proposition 4.6 *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any (M, p, w) atoms a associated to the ball B we have*

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}a|^2\ dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\ 2^{-2j(M-1)}\|a\|_{L^2} \tag{23}$$

and for all k,

$$\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} |L_k A^{-1/2}a|^2\ dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\ 2^{-2j(M-1)}\|a\|_{L^2}. \tag{24}$$

Proof: Set $T = V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}$ (or, $T = L_k A^{-1/2}$). We have, for each (M, p, w)-atom $a = A^M b$ associated to the ball B,

$$Ta = T(I - e^{-r_B^2 A})^M a + T(I - (I - e^{-r_B^2 A})^M)a.$$

Observe that

$$I - (I - e^{-r_B^2 A})^M = \sum_{k=1}^{M} c_k e^{-kr_B^2 A},$$

where $c_k = (-1)^{k+1}\frac{M!}{(M-k)!k!}$. Therefore,

$$[I - (I - e^{-r_B^2 A})^M]a = \sum_{k=1}^{M} a_k T\Big(r_B^2 A e^{-\frac{k}{M}r_B^2 A}\Big)^M (r_B^{-2M} b),$$

where $a_k = c_k\Big(\frac{k}{M}\Big)^M$.
At this stage, applying Propositions 4.4 and 4.6, we obtain (23) and (26).

Proof of Theorem 4.3: By Lemma 3.11, it suffices to show that for any (M, p, w)-atom a associated to the ball B with $M > 1+\frac{n}{2p}-\frac{n}{4}$, we have $||Ta||_{L^p_w} \leq C$ where $T = V^{1/2}A^{-1/2}$ or $T = L_k A^{-1/2}$.
Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||Ta||^p_{L^p_w} = \int_X |Ta|^p w(x)dx &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\int_{S_j(B)} |Ta|^p w(x)dx \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} K_j. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder inequalities and (23), (26), one has, for each j,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_j &\leq ||Ta||^p_{L^2(S_j(B))}\Big(\int_{S_j(B)}\Big(\int_{S_j(B)} w^{\frac{2}{2-p}}\Big)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\
&\leq C2^{-2jp(M-1)}\|a\|^p_{L^2}V(2^jB)^{-p/2}w(2^jB) \\
&\leq C2^{-2jp(M-1)}V(B)^{p/2}w(B)^{-1}V(2^jB)^{-p/2}w(2^jB) \\
&\leq C2^{-jp[2(M-1)+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{n}{p}]}.
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with $M > 1 + \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2}$, gives

$$||Ta||^p_{L^p_w} \leq C.$$

The proof is complete.

4.3 Spectral multiplier theorem on $H^p_{L,w}(X)$

In this section, by the kernel $K_T(x, y)$ associated to a L^2-bounded linear operator T we mean

$$Tf(x) = \int_X K_T(x, y)f(y)d\mu(y)$$

where $K_T(x, y)$ is a measurable function and the formula above holds for each continuous function f with bounded support and for almost all x not in the support of f.

Our main results are the following two theorems.

Theorem 4.7 *Let L be an operator satisfying $(H1)$ and $(H3)$, and $w \in A_1 \cap RH_{\frac{2}{2-p}}$. Suppose that $s > \frac{n(2-p)}{2p}$ and for any $R > 0$ and all Borel functions F such that* $\mathrm{supp}F \subset [0, R]$,

$$\int_X |K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(x, y)|^2 d\mu(x) \leq \frac{C}{V(y, R^{-1})}\|\delta_R F\|^2_{L^q} \tag{25}$$

for some $q \in [2, \infty]$. Then for any function F such that $\sup_{t>0}\|\eta\delta_t F\|_{W^q_s} < \infty$, *where $\delta_t F(\lambda) = F(t\lambda)$, $\|F\|_{W^q_s} = \|(I - d^2/dx^2)^{s/2}F\|_{L^q}$, the operator $F(L)$ is bounded on $H^p_{L,w}(X)$.*

Note that (25) always holds for $p = \infty$, see [DOS]. For further discussions concerning condition (25), we refer the reader to [DOS].

As a preamble to the proof of Theorem 4.7, we record a useful auxiliary result which is taken from [DOS, Lemma 4.3].

Lemma 4.8 *Suppose that L satisfies (25) for some $q \in [2, \infty]$, $R > 0$ and $s > 0$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(s, \epsilon)$ such that*

$$\int_X \big|K_{F(\sqrt{L})}(x, y)\big|^2\big(1 + Rd(x, y)\big)^s d\mu(x) \leq \frac{C}{V(y, R^{-1})}\|\delta_R F\|^2_{W^q_{\frac{s}{2}+\epsilon}} \tag{26}$$

for all Borel functions F such that $\mathrm{supp}F \subseteq [R/4, R]$.

Proof of Theorem 4.7: Since condition $\sup_{t>0} \|\eta\delta_t F\|_{W^q_s} < \infty$ is invariant under the change of variable $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^s$ and independent on the choice of η, due to Lemma 3.11 it suffices to show that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $(2M, p, w)$-atom $a = L^{2M}b$ in $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ the function

$$\widetilde{a} = F(\sqrt{L})a$$

is a multiple of (M, p, w, ϵ)-molecule for $M > \frac{n}{4}$ and some $\epsilon > 0$.
By standard argument, fix a function $\phi \in C^\infty_c(\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ such that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi(2^{-j}\lambda) = 1 \text{ for } \lambda > 0.$$

Then, for $0 \le k \le M$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}(r_B^2 L)^k \widetilde{b} &= r_B^{2k} \sum_{j\ge j_0} \phi(2^{-j}\sqrt{L})F(\sqrt{L})L^{k+M}b \\ &\quad + r_B^{2k} \sum_{j<j_0} \phi(2^{-j}\sqrt{L})L^M F(\sqrt{L})L^k b \\ &= r_B^{2k} \sum_{j\ge j_0} \phi(2^{-j}\sqrt{L})F(\sqrt{L})b_1 + r_B^{2k} \sum_{j<j_0} \phi(2^{-j}\sqrt{L})L^M F(\sqrt{L})b_2,\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $\widetilde{b} = L^M b$ and $j_0 = -\log_2 r_B$.
It is easy to see that

$$\|b_1\|_{L^2} \le r_B^{2M-2k} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(B)^{-1/p} \text{ and } \|b_2\|_{L^2} \le r_B^{4M-2k} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(B)^{-1/p}.$$

Setting

$$F_j(\lambda) = \begin{cases} F(\lambda)\phi(2^{-j}\lambda) & \text{for} \quad j \ge j_0 \\ F(\lambda)(2^{-j}\lambda)^{2M}\phi(2^{-j}\lambda) & \text{for} \quad j < j_0, \end{cases}$$

then we can rewrite (27) as follows

$$(r_B^2 L)^k \widetilde{b} = r_B^{2k} \sum_{j\ge j_0} F_j(\sqrt{L})b_1 + r_B^{2k} 2^{2jM} \sum_{j<j_0} F_j(\sqrt{L})b_2. \tag{28}$$

Since (28) converges in $L^2(X)$, we have for any $k \ge 0$

$$\|(r_B^2 L)^k \widetilde{b}\|_{L^2(S_k(B))} \le r_B^{2k} \sum_{j\ge j_0} \|F_j(\sqrt{L})b_1\|_{L^2(S_k(B))} + r_B^{2k} 2^{2jM} \sum_{j<j_0} \|F_j(\sqrt{L})b_2\|_{L^2(S_k(B))}.$$

Let us estimate $\|F_j(\sqrt{L})b_1\|_{L^2(S_k(B))}$ for $j \ge j_0$ first. Since $\mathrm{supp}F_j \subset [R/4, R]$ with $R = 2^j$, applying Lemma 4.8 and Minskowski inequality, we have, for $s > s' > \frac{n(2-p)}{2p} \ge \frac{n}{2}$

and $k \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|F_j(\sqrt{L})b_1\|_{L^2(S_k(B))} \\
&\qquad \leq \Big\| \int_B K_{F_j(\sqrt{L})}(x,y)b_1(y)d\mu(y)\Big\|_{L^2(S_k(B))} \\
&\qquad \leq \|b_1\|_{L^1} \sup_{y\in B}\Big(\int_{S_k(B)} |K_{F_j(\sqrt{L})}(x,y)|^2 d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\qquad \leq \|b_1\|_{L^2} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{y\in B}\Big(\int_{S_k(B)} |K_{F_j(\sqrt{L})}(x,y)|^2 d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\qquad \leq r_B^{2M-2k} V(B) w(B)^{-1/p} (2^{-(j+k)s'} r_B^{s'}) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \sup_{y\in B}\Big(\int_{S_k(B)} |K_{F_j(\sqrt{L})}(x,y)|^2 (1+2^j d(x,y))^{2s'} d\mu(x)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\qquad \leq C r_B^{2M-2k} V(B) w(B)^{-1/p} (2^{-(j+k)s'} r_B^{s'}) \sup_{y\in B} \frac{1}{\sqrt{V(y,2^{-j})}} \|\delta_{2^j} F_j\|_{W_s^q} \\
&\qquad \leq C r_B^{2M-2k} V(B) w(B)^{-1/p} (2^{-(j+k)s'} r_B^{s'}) \sup_{y\in B} \frac{1}{\sqrt{V(y,2^{-j})}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

For $j \geq j_0$, we have

$$\sup_{y\in B} \frac{1}{V(y,2^{-j})} \leq C \frac{(2^j r_B)^n}{V(B)}.$$

This together with (29) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F_j(\sqrt{L})b_1\|_{L^2(S_k(B))} &\leq C r_B^{2M-2k} V(B) w(B)^{-1/p} 2^{-(j+k)s'} 2^{-s' j_0} \frac{(2^j r_B)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\leq C r_B^{2M-2k} V(B)^{1/2} w(B)^{-1/p} 2^{-(j+k)s'} 2^{-s' j_0} (2^j r_B)^{\frac{n}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $w \in A_1$, we have

$$V(B) \leq C \frac{w(B)}{w(2^j B)} V(2^j B).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F_j(\sqrt{L})b_1\|_{L^2(S_k(B))} &\leq C r_B^{2M-2k} \frac{w(B)^{1/2}}{w(2^j B)^{1/2}} V(2^j B)^{1/2} w(B)^{-1/p} 2^{-(j+k)s'} 2^{-s' j_0} \frac{(2^j r_B)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{V(B)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\leq C r_B^{2M-2k} V(2^k B)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(2^k B)^{-1/p} 2^{-k(s' - \frac{n(2-p)}{2p})} 2^{(j-j_0)(\frac{n}{2})-s'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have, for $k = 0, 1, \ldots$

$$\|F_j(\sqrt{L})b_1\|_{L^2(S_k(B))} \leq \|b_1\|_{L^2(S_k(B))} \leq C r_B^{2M-2k} 2^{-k\epsilon} V(2^k B)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(2^k B)^{-1}$$

with $\epsilon = s' - \frac{n}{2}$.
Therefore,

$$r_B^{2k} \sum_{j\geq j_0} \|F_j(\sqrt{L})b_1\|_{L^2(S_k(B))} \leq C 2^{-k\epsilon} r_B^{2M} V(2^k B)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(2^k B)^{-1}.$$

This, together with the fact that $\sup_{y\in B}\frac{1}{V(y,2^{-j})}\leq C\frac{1}{V(B)}$ for $j\leq j_0$ gives

$$r_B^{2k}2^{2jM}\sum_{j<j_0}\|F_j(\sqrt{L})b_2\|_{L^2(S_k(B))}\leq C2^{-k\epsilon}r_B^{2M}V(2^kB)^{\frac{1}{2}}w(2^kB)^{-1/p}.$$

It therefore follows that $\widetilde{a}=F(\sqrt{L})a$ is a multiple of (M,p,w,ϵ)-molecule. The proof is complete.

Theorem 4.7 gives the boundedness on weighted Hardy spaces $H^p_{L,w}(X)$ for the spectral multipliers of a non-negative self adjoint operator satisfying Gaussian upper bounds (H3). However, there are certain operators satisfying (H1) and (H2) but not (H3) such as general Laplace-Beltrami operators on complete Riemannian manifolds. The following result shows that for suitable functions F, the spectral operators $F(L)$ are bounded on $H^p_{L,w}(X)$.

Theorem 4.9 *Assume that L satisfies conditions $(H1)$ and $(H2)$ and $w\in A_1\cap RH_{\frac{2}{2-p}}$. Let F be a bounded function defined on $(0,\infty)$ such that for some positive number $s>\frac{n(2-p)}{2p}+\frac{1}{2}$ and any non-zero function $\eta\in C_c^\infty(\frac{1}{2},2)$ there exists a constant C_η such that*

$$\sup_{t>0}\|\eta(\cdot)F(t\cdot)\|_{W^2_s(\mathbb{R})}\leq C_\eta. \tag{30}$$

Then the multiplier operator $F(L)$ is bounded on $H^p_{L,w}(X)$.

To prove Theorem 4.9 we need the following estimate in [DP].

Lemma 4.10 *Let $\gamma>1/2$ and $\beta>0$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for every function $F\in W^2_{\gamma+\beta/2}$ and every function $g\in L^2(X)$ supported in the ball B, we have*

$$\int_{d(x,x_B)>2r_B}|F(2^j\sqrt{L})g(x)|^2\Big(\frac{d(x,x_B)}{r_B}\Big)^\beta d\mu(x)\leq C(r_B2^j)^{-\beta}\|F\|^2_{W^2_{\gamma+\beta/2}}\|g\|^2_{L^2}$$

for $j\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.9: The proof of Theorem 4.9 can be proceeded in the same line as Theorem 4.7 by replacing Lemma 4.8 by Lemma 4.10. We omit the details here.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Lixin Yan for helpful comments and discussions.

References

[A] B. T. Anh, Weighted norm inequalities for Riesz transforms of magnetic Schrödinger operators, submitted.

[AB] P. Auscher, and B. Ben Ali, Maximal inequalities and Riesz transform estimates on L^p spaces for Schrödinger operators with nonnegative potentials, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 57 (2007), 1975-2013.

[ACDH] P. Auscher, T. Coulhon, X. T. Duong, and S. Hofmann, Riesz transform on manifolds and heat kernel regularity, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér., 37 (2004), 911-957.

[AD] B. T. Anh and X. T. Duong, Boundedness of singular integrals and their commutators with BMO functions on Hardy spaces, preprint.

[ADM] P. Auscher, X.T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Boundedness of Banach space valued singular integral operators and Hardy spaces, unpublished manuscript.

[AL] B. T Anh and J. Li, Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated to operators satisfying bounded H_∞ functional calculus and Davies-Gaffney estimates, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 373 (2011), 485-501 .

[AMR] P. Auscher, A. McIntosh, and E. Russ, Hardy spaces of differential forms on Riemannian manifolds. J. Geom. Anal. 18 (2008), no. 1, 192-248.

[AR] P. Auscher and E. Russ, Hardy spaces and divergence operators on strongly Lipschitz domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$, J. Funct. Anal., 201 (2003), 148–184.

[CD] T. Coulhon and X. T. Duong, Riesz transforms for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), no. 3, 11511169.

[Du] J. Duoandikoetxea, Fourier Analysis, Grad. Stud. math, 29, American Math. Soc., Providence, 2000.

[CFKS] H.L. Cycon, R.G. Foese, W. Kirsh, and B. Simon, *Schrödinger operators with applications to quantum mechanics and global geometry*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer Verlag, 1987.

[CMS] R.R. Coifman, Y. Meyer and E.M. Stein, Some new functions and their applications to harmonic analysis, J. Funct. Analysis, 62 (1985), 304-335.

[CRW] R.R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, and G. Weiss, Factorization theorem for Hardy spaces in several variables, Ann. of Math. 103 (1976), 611-635.

[CW] R.R. Coifman, G. Weiss, Analyse Harmonique Non-commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogeneous, Lecture Notes in Math. 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

[Da] E.B. Davies, Non-Gaussian aspects of heat kernel behaviour, J. London Math. Soc. 55 (1997), 105-125.

[DL] X. T. Duong and J. Li, Hardy spaces associated to operators satisfying bounded H_∞ functional calculus and Davies-Gaffney estimates, submitted.

[DM] X. T. Duong and A. McIntosh, Singular integral operators with non-smooth kernels on irregular domains, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 15 (1999), 233–265.

[DOS] X.T. Duong, E.M. Ouhabaz and A. Sikora, Plancherel-type estimates and sharp spectral multipliers. J. Funct. Anal., 196 (2002), 443-485.

[DOY] X.T. Duong, E.M. Ouhabaz, and L.X. Yan, Endpoint estimates for Riesz transforms of magnetic Schrödinger operators, Ark. Mat. 44 (2006), 261-275.

[DP] J. Dziubański and M. Preisner, Remarks on spectral multiplier theorems on Hardy spaces associated with semigroups of operators, Revista de la unión Matemática Argentina 50 (2009), 201-215.

[DY1] X.T. Duong and L.X. Yan, New function spaces of BMO type, the John-Nirenberg inequality, interpolation, and applications, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), 1375-1420.

[DY2] X.T. Duong and L.X. Yan, Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 18 (2005), 943-973.

[G] J. Garcia-Cuerva, Weighted H^p spaces, Dissertationes Math., 162 (1979), 1-63.

[HMa] S. Hofmann and J.M. Martell, L^p bounds for Riesz transforms and square roots associated to second order elliptic operators, Publ. Mat., 47 (2003), 497-515.

[HM] S. Hofmann and S. Mayboroda, Hardy and BMO spaces associated to divergence form elliptic operators, Math. Ann., 344 (2009), no. 1, 37-116.

[HLMMY] S. Hofmann, G. Lu, D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea and L. Yan, Hardy spaces associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates, to appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc..

[HM] S. Hofmann and S. Mayboroda, Hardy and BMO spaces associated to divergence form elliptic operators, Math. Ann., 344 (2009), 37-116.

[HSV] E. Harboure, O. Salinas and B. Viviani, A look at $\mathrm{BMO}_{\varphi}(w)$ through Carleson measures, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 13 (2007), 267-284.

[G] J. Garcia-Cuerva, Weighted H^p spaces, Dissertationes Math., 162 (1979), 1-63.

[JY] R. Jiang and D. Yang, New Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with divergence form elliptic operators, J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010), 1167-1224.

[MM] G. Mauceri and S. Meda, Vector-valued multipliers on stratified groups, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 6 (1990), 141-154.

[Mc] A. McIntosh, Operators which have an H_∞-calculus, Miniconference on operator theory and partial differential equations, Proc. Centre Math. Analysis, ANU, Canberra, 14(1986), 210-231.

[Ou] E.M. Ouhabaz, *Analysis of heat equations on domains,* London Math. Soc. Monographs, Vol. 31, Princeton Univ. Press 2004.

[R] E. Russ, The atomic decomposition for tent spaces on spaces of homogeneous type, Asymptotic Geometric Analysis, Harmonic Analysis, and Related Topics, 125-135, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, 42, Australian National University, Canberra, 2007.

[YY] D. Yang, S. Yang, Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces associated with operators and their applications, preprint.

[S] A. Sikora, Riesz transform, Gaussian bounds and the method of wave function, Math. Z. 247 (2004), 643-662.

[Si] B. Simon, Maximal and minimal Schrödinger forms, J. Op. Theory 1 (1979), 37-47.

[St] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: Real variable methods, orthogonality and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, (1993).

[ST] J.-O. Strömberg and A. Torchinsky, Weighted Hardy spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1381 Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1989.

[SY] L. Song and L. Yan, Riesz transforms associated to Schrödinger operators on weighted Hardy spaces, Journal of Functional Analysis, 259 (2010), 1466-1490 .

[Yo] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis, Sixth Edition, Spring-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.

Department of Mathematics, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia and
Department of Mathematics, University of Pedagogy, Ho chi Minh city, Vietnam
Email: the.bui@mq.ed.au

Department of Mathematics, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia
Email: xuan.duong@mq.edu.au